

Journal of Medical Bacteriology



Nanoparticles Impact the Expression of the Genes Involved in Biofilm Formation in *S. aureus*, a Model Antimicrobial-Resistant Species

Hengameh Gheidar¹, Azam Haddadi¹, Behrooz Sadeghi Kalani², Nour Amirmozafari^{2*}

¹ Department of Microbiology, Karaj Branch, Islamic Azad University, Karaj, Iran.

² Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Article type: Original Article Article history: Received: 09 Jun 2018 Revised: 12 Jul 2018 Accepted: 13 Jul 2018 Published: 06 Oct 2018 Keywords: Antibiotic-resistant bacteria, Expression level, Nanoparticles, Disinfectants.	AKIICLE	IN IN	FO			
Article history:Received: 09 Jun 2018Revised: 12 Jul 2018Accepted: 13 Jul 2018Published: 06 Oct 2018Keywords:Antibiotic-resistantbacteria, Expression level,Nanoparticles,Disinfectants.	Article type:					
Article history: Received: 09 Jun 2018 Revised: 12 Jul 2018 Accepted: 13 Jul 2018 Published: 06 Oct 2018 Keywords: Antibiotic-resistant bacteria, Expression level, Nanoparticles, Disinfectants.	Oligiliai A	THE	2			
Received:09Jun2018Revised:12Jul2018Accepted:13Jul2018Published:06Oct2018Keywords:Antibiotic-resistantbacteria, Expression level,Nanoparticles,Disinfectants.	Article his	tory:				
Revised: 12 Jul 2018 Accepted: 13 Jul 2018 Published: 06 Oct 2018 <i>Keywords:</i> <i>Antibiotic-resistant</i> <i>bacteria, Expression level,</i> <i>Nanoparticles,</i> <i>Disinfectants.</i>	Received:	09	Jun	2018		
Accepted: 13 Jul 2018 Published: 06 Oct 2018 <i>Keywords:</i> <i>Antibiotic-resistant</i> <i>bacteria, Expression level,</i> <i>Nanoparticles,</i> <i>Disinfectants.</i>	Revised:	12	Jul	2018		
Published: 06 Oct 2018 <i>Keywords:</i> <i>Antibiotic-resistant</i> <i>bacteria, Expression level,</i> <i>Nanoparticles,</i> <i>Disinfectants.</i>	Accepted:	13	Jul	2018		
Keywords: Antibiotic-resistant bacteria, Expression level, Nanoparticles, Disinfectants.	Published:	06	Oct	2018		
Antibiotic-resistant bacteria, Expression level, Nanoparticles, Disinfectants.	Keywords:					
bacteria, Expression level, Nanoparticles, Disinfectants.	Antibiotic-	resis	tant			
Nanoparticles, Disinfectants.	hacteria F	Expre	ession	level.		
Disinfectants.		1				
	Nanopartie	cles.				
	Nanopartio Disinfectar	cles, 1ts.				
	Nanopartic Disinfectar	cles, 1ts.				
	Nanopartic Disinfectar	cles, 1ts.				
	Nanopartic Disinfectar	cles, 1ts.				

ABSTRACT

Background: Infection with resistant bacteria are still reported in hospitals despite the routine cleaning of hospital surfaces. Presence of drug-resistant microbes in the on environment of hospitals and on medical equipment is indicative of the need for control measures which could impact the emergence of such microbes. In addition, biofilms are increasingly associated with human infections and it necessitates careful considerations on usage of a diverse range of medical devices, such as catheters, implants and pacemakers in hospitals.

Methods: This study was designed to compare the effect of silver, ZnO nanoparticles and curcumin on drug-resistant Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria which were already isolated from different wards of the hospital. The MIC value were determined for silver, curcumin and ZnO nanoparticles. As the second step, the expression level of the genes involved in biofilm formation in *S. aureus*, including *icaA*, *icaD*, *fnbA* and *fnbB*, was studied to analyze the physiological reaction to controlled concentrations of such nanoparticles using RT-qPCR assessments.

Results: In this study, a total of 172 bacterial isolates were recovered from clinical and environmental samples (96 and 76 isolates, respectively). API-20 test revealed that these isolates belonged to 8 species. All antimicrobial resistant isolates were susceptible to the metal oxide nanoparticles. The results of q-PCR in this study showed that the expression of *icaA* and *icaD* genes in the presence of silver, curcumin and zinc nanoparticles were not significantly reduced compared to the control samples. But, exposure to nanoparticles reduced the expression of *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes from 0.46 to 0.06.

Conclusion: The results of our study showed that nanoparticles are highly effective on antibiotics-resistant isolates and these compounds can be used in the treatment of resistant bacteria. In addition, this study also demonstrates the promising potential of using nanoparticles as anti-biofilm formation agents.

Please cite this paper as: Gheidar H, Haddadi A, Sadeghi Kalani B, Amirmozafari N. The Effect of Nanoparticles on Antimicrobial Resistant Bacteria and Expression Level of the Genes Involved in Biofilm of *S. aureus. J Med Bacteriol.* 2018; **7** (3, 4): pp.30-41.

Introduction

We are still facing resistant nosocomial infections in patients, despite standard protocols for controlling the spread of infections in the hospital, which indicates pathogen resistance to disinfectants and detergents (1-3). On the other hand, bacteria are becoming resistant to antibiotics and drugs. A diverse range of studies have investigated methods to develop new antimicrobial agents in order to overcome this problem. Furthermore, over 65% of all human infections are estimated to be related to the biofilm-formation capabilities of pathogens (4). In addition, over 12 million people in the USA are reported to be affected by pathogens which have the biofilmrelated infections (BRIs) every year, with an estimated annual economic burden of \$6 billion organized (5).Bacterial biofilms harbor communities of various bacteria embedded in a self-produced matrix of extracellular polymeric substances (EPS). Living is such bio-matrixes is indeed the predominant bacterial lifestyle in natural environments. Biofilms are increasingly associated with human infections, especially due to the rise in use of medical devices, such as catheters, implants and pacemakers (6). The increased host immune system evasion as well as and resistance to antimicrobials tolerance displayed by biofilms lead to failure of conventional antimicrobial therapy (7-9). Thus, biofilms cause persistent infections characterized bv increased morbidity and mortality. Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most frequent causes of nosocomial and medical device-related biofilm infections (10).

Recently inorganic antimicrobial agents, including heavy metals, are considered as they are safe for human beings (11). Antibacterial activity of zinc oxide and silver nanoparticles are significantly considered in recent years especially by using nanotechnology to synthesize particles in nanoscale size by physical and chemical methods (12). The advantage of using nanoparticles is their higher surface to volume ratio and therefore increased a number of active atoms at the outer surfaces (13).

The mechanism of the antibacterial effect of these nanoparticles is due to the attachment to the surface of the cell membrane and disruption of permeability and respiration functions of cells and inactivating membrane proteins. These particles can also pass through the cell wall and either the membranes of bacteria and bind to bacterial DNA and disrupt DNA replication. Furthermore, they can interfere in ribosomal function to translate mRNA into protein forms, and so they activate cytochrome b (14). However, further investigation is needed to understand the complete mechanism of the nanoparticles and their mode of action on different bacteria which differs due to the type of nanoparticles and also bacterial strains and their concentrations. There are various factors affecting the susceptibility of different microorganisms to heavy metal nanoparticles including the structure of bacterial cell wall and bacterial growth rate (15). Another antimicrobial agent which has been recently considered is curcumin, the major phytochemical of Curcuma longa L. and commonly known as turmeric (16).

It is commonly used in food as a spice and gives the yellow color to the foods and is majorly produced in India. It can be extracted by turmeric by different methods by solvent extraction and purified by column chromatography (17).

Many properties of this compound have been reported including antimicrobial, antiinflammatory and antioxidant activities (18) and it has been observed that there will be an improved antibacterial activity if combined with other drugs (19). The safe dose of usage has been reported up to 8 grams daily for three months (20). The mechanism of its activity are yet to be studied but it seems that it has various activities affecting the cellular processes which lead to inhibition of the cell growth in Gram-positive and either Gramnegative bacteria (21, 22). Studies have shown that the antibacterial effect of curcumin against *Bacillus subtillis* is by inhibiting the proliferation of bacterial cells through blocking of *FtsZ* assemblage in the Z ring (22) and for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* it affects the virulence, quorum sensing and biofilm formation of the bacteria (23).

Therefore, this study was designed to (i) compare the effect of silver, ZnO nanoparticles and curcumin on Gram-positive and Gram-negative microorganisms resistant to antibiotics and antiseptics which were isolated from different wards of the hospital. The isolates covered a diverse range of bacterial taxonomy including: *E. coli*, *A. baumannii*, *S. epidermiditis*, *K. pneumonia*, *P. aeruginosa*, *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, and *E. faecium*, and (ii) RT-qPCR-measurement of the expression level of the genes involved in biofilm formation of *S. aureus* when exposed to sub-MIC concentrations of nanoparticle.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial isolates

Clinical isolates were collected from samples including sputum, blood, cutaneous lesions, nasal mucus, urine, stool, burns, catheters, bronchial secretions and wound secretions. Environmental isolates were collected from ICU and CCU wards from suction devices, monitor, incubators, beds, walls, floors and sinks in Rasoul Akram hospital, Tehran, Iran in 6 months. The swabs were inoculated on Blood Agar culture media and were incubated overnight at 37 °C. Identification of bacterial isolates was carried out by API-20E kit.

Definition of antimicrobial susceptibility

Antimicrobial resistance of the isolates was determined by disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton Agar (Merck, Germany) according to Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute Guidelines (CLSI, 2015). The antibiotic disks used in this study were (Mast, Germany) Ampicillin (30µg). Co-Amoxiclav (20 µg), Ciprofloxacin (5 μ g), Erythromycin (5 μ g), Oxacillin $(5 \mu g)$ and Cefoxitin $(30 \mu g)$. Inoculation on Mueller Hinton was performed with a broth culture diluted to match a 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard, which was roughly equivalent to 150 million cells per mL. The sterile swab was immersed into the broth culture and then the Mueller-Hinton Agar was streaked to form a bacterial lawn and antibiotic disks were placed on the agar by a dispenser. Cultures were then incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 hrs. Then, the zone of inhibition was measured and compared to the world standards (CLSI) and were reported as resistant, Intermediate (I) and Sensitive (S). In order to study the susceptibility to antiseptics, disk diffusion agar method was used. Standard disks (made of Acetate Cellulose, prepared from PadTanTeb Company) with a diameter of 6 mm were suspended in the antiseptic solutions including Formaldehyde, Benzalkonium chloride, Chlorhexidine and deconex and incubated at 37 °C for 30 minutes. Based on CLSI guidelines, the diameter of the zone of inhibition measured 6 mm.7-10 mm.11-15 mm and more than 15 mm were considered neutral low-level, intermediatelevel and high-level disinfectants, respectively. **Staphylococcus** aureus ATCC25923 and Escherichia coli ATCC25922 were used as standard strains. Chloramphenicol and Gentamycin were used as controls.

MIC determination

MIC and MBC concentrations were determined for nanoparticles by serial dilution method in 96well microplates according to CLSI guideline at 25 °C. for this purpose, serial dilutions were prepared in 10 wells with concentrations of 400, 300, 200, 150, 100, 50, 25, 12.5, 6.25, and 3.12 μ g/ml and two wells were positive (including culture media and microbial suspension) and negative (including culture media) controls. Then, 10 microliters of bacterial suspension matching a 0.5 McFarland turbidity standard was added to wells containing different concentrations of nanoparticles and the plates were then incubated overnight at 35 °C. MIC and MBC were then determined. The abovementioned standard strains were also included into the assay as controls.

RT-qPCR reactions and Gene expression analysis

The effect of nanoparticles on expression of the genes involved in biofilm formation in Staphylococcus aureus, including icaA, icaD, fnbA and fnbB genes, was studied using RT-qPCR technique. The sub-MIC wells in 96-well microplate were collected and pelleted by centrifugation at 2500 ×g for 15 min. Total RNA was isolated using the QIAGEN RNeasy Mini kit. Extracted RNA was analyzed using a Nanodrop ND1000 and run on a denaturing 1.5% TAEagarose gels (80 V for 1 h) to assess RNA concentration, quality, and integrity. The RNA was DNase treated with Promega RNAse-free DNase (at 37 °C for 1 h). RNA was precipitated with 1 volume isopropanol and 0.1 volume of 3 M NaOAc (pH 4.6). The suspension was incubated on ice for 20 min and centrifuged at high speed for 30 min at 4 °C. The RNA pellet was dried and resuspended with RNase-free MilliQ H₂O. According to the manufacturer's instructions, 500 ng-1µg RNA was converted into cDNA using AccuPower CycleScript RT PreMix (Bioneer; Korea). Quantitative Real-Time PCR was performed in a Rotor-Gene thermal cycler (Corbett 6000; Australia) using SYBR Green method (AccuPower Green Star qPCR Master Mix; Bioneer; Korea). A total volume of 20 µl reaction containing 2 µl of cDNA, 12.5 µl SYBR Green master mix, 4.5 µl nuclease-free water and 1 µl of each primer (5 pmol) was run according to following program: an initial activation step at 94 °C for 4 minutes, 35 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, annealing at (Table 2 shows annealing Tm for each primer) for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 20 s. The gyrA gene was also analyzed as an internal control to normalize target gene expression measurements.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 16) and T-test.

Results

In this study, a total of 172 bacterial isolates were recovered from clinical and environmental samples (96 and 76 isolates, respectively). API-20 test revealed that these isolates belonged to 8 species. Of these Escherichia coli was the predominant (25%); Staphylococcus aureus (19%). Klebsiella pneumonia (16%). Staphylococcus epidermidis (15%), Acinetobacter baumannii (8.5%), Pseudomonas aeruginosa (7.5%), Enterococcus faecalis (5%) and Enterococcus faecium (4%) were the next species.

Antimicrobial activities of antiseptics and antibiotics are presented in Table1. *E. coli* isolates were mostly resistant to Ampicillin (84.5%) and Tetracycline (77%) while *A. baumannii* was resistant to Ciprofloxacin (73.33%) and Ampicillin (73.34%). *K. pneumoniae* was highly resistant to Tetracycline (71%) and *P. aeruginosa* was highly resistant to Ciprofloxacin (70%) and Ampicillin (70%). *E. faecalis* was mostly resistant to Benzalkonium chloride (67%) while *E. faecium* was resistant to all antiseptic agents. *S. epidermidis* was mostly resistant to Tetracycline (91%), Amikacin (85%) and kanamycin (85%).

	Cip	AM	CTX	Caz	Amp	CPE	Ipm	Gen	Kan	Mem	Tcn	F	BZ	СН	Dec
		K											K	Х	
E. coli	28	2	28	20	84.5	456	4.5	7	32.5	2	77	14	28	33	19
A. baumannii	73.3 3	40	46.67	33.33	73.34	20	13.33	26.67	26.67	13.33	66.6 7	15	7	7	7
K. pneumoniae	30	15	52	48	48	52	19	15	30	22	71	7	11	7	4
P. aeruginosa	70	30	38	23	70	7	15	30	30	15	63	39	54	23	31
E. faecalis	44.4 4	55.5 5	22.23	22.23	33.33	22.23	-	44.44	33.23	-	22.2 3	56	67	44	33
E. faecium	57	71	0	29	57	29	-	57	29	-	29	100	100	100	100
S. epidermidis	23	16	70	50	57	53	-	34	27	-	57	19	23	27	12
S. aureus	66	85	79	6	69	50	-	41	85	-	91	6	3	3	3

Table 1. Percentage of antimicrobial resistance of the isolated strains by Disk Diffusion Method.

Cip:Ciprofloxacin; AMK:Amikacin; CTX:Ceftriaxone; Caz:Ceftazidime; Amp:Ampicillin; CPE:Cefepime; Ipm:Imipenem; Gen:Gentamycin; Kan:Kanamycin; Mem:Meropenem; Tcn:Tetracycline; F:Formaldehyde; BZK: Benzalkonium chloride; CHX:Chlorhexidine; Dec:Deconex

Table 2.	The designed primers and their	r corresponding target	genes used in the study.
----------	--------------------------------	------------------------	--------------------------

Ta Genes	Sequence (5' → 3')	TM (°C)	Amplicon size	Reference
icaA	F AAAGTGCAGTTGTCGACGTT	59	161 bp	In this study
	R TTGCTTCCAAAGACCTCCCA			
icaD	F TGGGCATTTTCGCGATTATCA	59	80 bp	In this study
	R CGATTCTCTTCCTCTCTGCCATT			
fnbA	F GACCACCACCTGGGTTTGTA	59	147 bp	In this study
	R TGGATAGCGAAGCAGGTCAC			
fnbB	F GACCACCACCTGGGTTTGTA	59	143 bp	In this study
	R AGGTGCAGAAGGTCATGCAG			
gyrA	F ATTGCAGAGCTCGTTCGTGA	59	89 bp	In this study
	R ATAACGACACGCACACCAGT			



Figure 1. The expression profiles of biofilm-associated genes in *S. aureus* in Sub-MIC concentration of silver nanoparticles (P < 0.05).



Figure 2. The expression profiles of biofilm-associated genes in *S. aureus* in Sub-MIC concentration of curcumin nanoparticles (P < 0.05).



Figure 3. The expression profiles of biofilm-associated genes in *S. aureus* in Sub-MIC concentration of ZnO nanoparticles (P < 0.05).

The range of MIC50 of zinc nanoparticles for resistant E. coli, S. aureus, A. baumannii, K. pneumonia, P. aeruginosa, E. faecalis, E. faecium and S. epidermidis strains were 3.12-12.5 µg/ml µg/ml,3,12-6.25 .3.12-12.5 µg/ml,3.12-6.25 ug/ml,3.12-25 µg/ml,3.12-50 µg/ml3.12-100 μ g/ml and 3.12-25 μ g/ml ,respectively. The range of MIC50 of silver nanoparticles for resistant E. coli, S. aureus, A. baumannii, K. pneumonia, P. aeruginosa, E. faecalis , E. faecium and S. epidermidis strains were 3.12-50 µg/ml,3.12-25 μg/ml,3.12-25 μg/ml,3.12-6.25 μg/ml,3.12-12.5 µg/ml,3.12-25 µg/ml,3.12-25 µg/ml and 3.12-12.5 µg/ml respectively. The range of MIC50 of curcumin nanoparticles for resistant E. coli, S. aureus. A. baumannii. K. pneumonia. P. aeruginosa, E. faecalis, E. faecium and S. epidermidis strains were 3.12-50 µg/ml,3.12-25 μg/ml,3.12-12.5 μg/ml,3.12-25 μg/ml,3.12-12.5 µg/ml3.12-50 µg/ml,3.12-6.25 µg/ml and 3.12-12.5 µg/ml, respectively.

The results of q-PCR showed that the expression of *icaA* and *icaD* genes in the presence of silver, curcumin and zinc nanoparticles were not significantly reduced in comparison to the control samples, whereas the expression level of *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes in the presence of the silver nanoparticles decreased by 0.06 and 0.08 folds, Respectively, curcumin nanoparticles reduced the expression of *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes by 0.33 and 0.38 fold, respectively; the expression of the *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes by ZnO nanoparticles also decreased by 0.44 and 0.46 folds (Figures 1 to 3).

Discussion

Antimicrobial resistance is considered as a basic problem in the process of treatment and infection control (9). In recent years, bacteria have become resistant to common antibiotics, worldwide. There have been various reports considering the expansion of antibacterial resistance in different wards of the hospital and society, in different parts of the world, which could be caused by unnecessary consumption of antibacterial agents. As antibacterial resistance could be transferred by plasmids, it is important to study the resistance of infectious bacteria in different wards of the hospital in order to devise treatment protocols and prevention strategies. Although sterilization methods and antiseptic agents are continuously developing, novel methods are not completely used in our country and traditional methods are still being used (24). Lack of efficiency in antiseptic agents could be caused by excessive dilution of the agents for economic reasons or lack of precision in their preparation (25). Currently, nanotechnology is considered as a beneficial technology in the field of Nano-antibiotics. This technology modifies the size and the scale of the particles. Surface to volume ratio of nanoparticles is high and the particle in nanoscales have different features compared to its larger scales. Silver, ZnO and curcumin nanoparticles are the products of nanotechnology (19, 26).

In the current study, 172 bacterial isolates were collected, from which 96 were isolated from different clinical samples (55.8%) including sputum, blood, cutaneous lesions, nasal mucus, urine, stool, burn wounds, catheter, bronchial secretions and wound secretions and 76 were isolated from the environment of the hospital including suction device, monitor, incubator, suture table, beds, walls, and ceiling. In this study, 74 of the isolated bacteria were Gram-positive and 98 were Gram-negative. Therefore, the prevalence of Gram-negative bacteria was higher compared to the Gram-positive bacteria. In a similar study conducted in Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, the prevalence of Gram-positive bacteria was twice the number of Gram-negative bacteria and the prevalence of non-pathogenic bacteria was higher compared to pathogens, which were not compatible with the current study. This may be in part because in this study only pathogens were identified. In the current study, S. aureus had the highest prevalence among Gram-positive bacteria and E.coli had the highest prevalence among Gram-negative bacteria. The study conducted by Mashouf et al showed that the most prevalent Gram-positive microbial bacteria in contaminations of the hospitals was S. epidermidis, *Micrococcus* and *Bacillus subtilis* and *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* were the most prevalent among Gram-negative bacteria (27). These results were consistent with our study.

There have been several studies considering the antimicrobial resistance in standard and clinical bacterial strains. especially resistance to antibiotics. But resistance against antiseptic agents has not been noticed much. Anderson et al and Rotala et al showed that there is no relationship between the antibacterial resistance of hospital isolates and their susceptibility to disinfectants. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus* aureus (MRSA) are considered as highly resistant bacteria against quaternary ammonium.

The current study investigated the effects of disinfectants with antimicrobial bases of Deconex, Benzalkonium chloride, Anizosin, nanocide against microbial isolates of different wards of Rasoul Akram Hospital, Tehran, Iran. In contrast to the development in contamination controls, nosocomial infection is still on increasing and *S. aureus, Enterococci*, and *P. aeruginosa* are considered as the most important agents to contaminate medical devices and internal and external hospital surfaces (28-32).

Nanoparticles have the lowest toxicity to the ecosystem and therefore can be a suitable choice in fighting against pathogens. Metal oxide nanoparticles display different antibacterial effects based on their surface to volume ration. Grampositive bacteria show more resistance to metal oxide nanoparticles compared to Gram-negative bacteria, which could be related to their cell wall structure. This study showed that antimicrobial resistant bacteria were highly sensitive to silver, ZnO and curcumin nanoparticles.

Previous studies proved the antimicrobial effect of ZnO nanoparticle on Gram-positive and Gramnegative bacteria including *S. aureus*, *E. faecalis*, *S. typhimurium* and *E. aerogenes* (33).Sherry Wastava et al (2010) showed the dose-dependent antimicrobial effect of silver nanoparticles against S.aureus and S.typhimurium which is incompatible incompatible with our study (34). Elham Ansari et al (2012) studied the antibacterial effect of curcumin nanoparticles against MRSA in preclinical conditions. Their results were incompatible with the current study (35).

The results of q-PCR in this study showed that expression of *icaA* and *icaD* genes in the presence of silver, curcumin and zinc nanoparticles were not significantly reduced compared to the control sample. However, the expression of *fnbA* and *fnbB* genes in the presence of nanoparticles decreased from 0.46 to 0.06 fold. The results showed that the silver nanoparticle is more effective than curcumin and ZnO nanoparticles, as well as other studies, since *icaA* and *icaD* genes do not play a role in the biofilm of MRSA strains, and biofilms in MSSA strains is known to be *ica*-dependent, therefore, the lack of effect of nanoparticles on the expression of *icaA* and *icaD* genes is reasonable (36).

The results of the study by Xiaojuan Tan et al. in 2015 Showed that the mechanism of the MSSA biofilm formation was different from that of the MRSA, due to absence of accessory gene regulator (agr) function (36). Also, Fitzpatrick et al. revealed that biofilm formation of the icaADBC operon deleted MRSA mutants was not affected, whereas biofilm formation of the icaADBC operon deleted MSSA mutants was impaired. This study showed that ica-independent biofilm formation is strain specific (37). The results of the current study.

Overlay, Biofilm of MSSA is formed in *ica*dependent manner (PIA-dependent) by PIA that is encoded by *ica*ADBC gene, whereas biofilm of MRSA is formed in ica-independent manner (PIAindependent) by surface proteins containing LPXTG anchoring domain that are anchored to peptidoglycan by sortase as a transpeptidase coded by *srtA* gene. Adherence to surfaces and intercellular aggregations of MSSA and MRSA cells are contributed by PIA in ica-dependent manner and surface proteins in ica-independent manner, respectively (37).

Conclusion

The results of our study showed that nanoparticles are highly effective on resistant

isolates to antibiotics and disinfectants and these compounds can be used in the treatment of resistant bacteria. In addition, this study also demonstrates the promising use of nanoparticles as antibacterial biofilm agents for use in the health centers. Although more studies are needed especially on animal models. Also, the possible harmful effects of these compounds should be investigated.

Acknowledgements

All authors appreciated Department of Microbiology, Iran University of Medical Sciences.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Khan HA, Ahmad A, Mehboob R. Nosocomial infections and their control strategies. *Asian Pac J Trop Biomed* 2015. 5(7): 509-14.
- Poorabbas B, Mardaneh J, Rezaei Z, et al. Nosocomial Infections: Multicenter surveillance of antimicrobial resistance profile of *Staphylococcus aureus* and Gram negative rods isolated from blood and other sterile body fluids in Iran. *Iran J Microbiol* 2015; 7(3):127.
- 3. Kalani BS, Pournajaf A, Sedighi M, et al. Genotypic characterization, invasion index and antimicrobial resistance pattern in *Listeria monocytogenes* strains isolated from clinical samples. *J Acute Dis* 2015; **4**(2):141-146.
- 4. McLean RJ, Lam JS, Graham LL. Training the biofilm generation—a tribute to JW

Costerton. *J bacteriol* 2012; **194**(24): 6706-11.

- Gbejuade HO, Lovering AM, Webb JC. The role of microbial biofilms in prosthetic joint infections: a review. *Acta Orthopaedica* 2015; 86(2):147-58.
- Lewis K. Multidrug tolerance of biofilms and persister cells, in bacterial biofilms. 2008, Springer. p. 107-131.
- Anderson G, O'toole G. Innate and induced resistance mechanisms of bacterial biofilms, in bacterial biofilms. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 2008; **322**:85-105.
- 8. Khodaei F, Kalani BS, Alizadeh N, et al. Genotyping and phylogenetic analysis of group B *Streptococcus* by multiple locus variable number tandem repeat analysis in Iran. *Galen Medical Journal* 2018.
- Behrooz SK, Lida L, Ali S, et al. Study of MazEF, sam, and phd-doc putative toxin– antitoxin systems in *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. *Acta microbiologica et immunologica Hungarica* 2018; 65(1):81-91.
- 10. Otto M. Staphylococcal biofilms, in bacterial biofilms. 2008, Springer. p. 207-28.
- Xie Y, He Y, Irwin PL, et al. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of action of zinc oxide nanoparticles against *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011; **77**(7):2325-31.
- 12. Sirelkhatim A, Mahmud S, Seeni A, et al. Review on zinc oxide nanoparticles: antibacterial activity and toxicity mechanism. *Nano-Micro Lett* 2015; **7**(3): 219-42.
- Zhang Y, Shareena Dasari TP, Deng H, et al. Antimicrobial activity of gold nanoparticles and ionic gold. *J. Environ. Sci. Health C* 2015; **33**(3):286-327.
- 14. Kwakye-Awuah B, Williams C, Kenward M, et al. Antimicrobial action and efficiency

of silver-loaded zeolite X. *J Appl Microbiol* 2008; **104**(5):1516-24.

- Lu C, Brauer MJ, Botstein D. Slow growth induces heat-shock resistance in normal and respiratory-deficient yeast. *Mol Biol Cell* 2009; **20**(3):891-903.
- Chattopadhyay I, Biswas K, Bandyopadhyay U, et al. Turmeric and curcumin: Biological actions and medicinal applications. *Current Science-Bangalore* 2004; 87:44-53.
- 17. Li M, Ngadi MO, Ma Y. Optimization of pulsed ultrasonic and microwave-assisted extraction for curcuminoids by response surface methodology and kinetic study. *Food Chem* 2014; 165:29-34.
- Maheshwari RK, Singh AK, Gaddipati J, et al. Multiple biological activities of curcumin: a short review. *Life sci* 2006. 78(18):2081-7.
- 19. Bansal S, Chhibber S. Curcumin alone and in combination with augmentin protects against pulmonary inflammation and acute lung injury generated during *Klebsiella pneumoniae* B5055-induced lung infection in BALB/c mice. *J Med Microbiol* 2010; 59(4):429-37.
- 20. Chainani-Wu N. Safety and antiinflammatory activity of curcumin: a component of tumeric (Curcuma longa). *The Journal of Alternative & Complementary Medicine* 2003; **9**(1):161-8.
- 21. Gupta SC, Patchva S, Koh W, et al. Discovery of curcumin, a component of golden spice, and its miraculous biological activities. *Clin Exp Pharmacol P* 2012; 39(3):283-99.
- 22. Rai D, Singh JK, Roy N, et al. Curcumin inhibits FtsZ assembly: an attractive mechanism for its antibacterial activity. *Biochem J* 2008; **410**(1):147-55.
- 23. Rudrappa T, Bais HP. Curcumin, a known phenolic from Curcuma longa, attenuates the

virulence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 in whole plant and animal pathogenicity models. *J Agric Food Chem* 2008; **56**(6):1955-62.

- 24. Mathew AG, Cissell R, Liamthong S. Antibiotic resistance in bacteria associated with food animals: a United States perspective of livestock production. *Foodborne Pathog Dis* 2007; **4**(2):115-33.
- 25. Etzioni, A., Is transparency the best disinfectant? *Journal of Political Philosophy* 2010; **18**(4):389-404.
- 26. Jones N, Ray B, Ranjit KT, et al. Antibacterial activity of ZnO nanoparticle suspensions on a broad spectrum of microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2008; **279**(1):71-6.
- 27. Yousefi Mashouf R, Nazari M, Samarghandi MR, et al. Evaluation of efficacy of the current disinfectants on *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Pseudomonas aeroginosa* isolated from hospitals of Hamadan in 2006. *Zahedan J Res Med Sci* 2007; **8**(4):287-97.
- 28. Culver DH, Horan TC, Gaynes RP, et al. Surgical wound infection rates by wound class, operative procedure, and patient risk index. *Am J Med* 1991; 91:152S-7S.
- 29. Bennett JE, Dolin R, Blaser MJ, 2015. Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases, 8th Ed. Elsevier Saunders. Philadelphia.
- 30. Peña C, Dominguez MA, Pujol M. An outbreak of carbapenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in a urology ward. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2003; **9**(9):938-43.
- 31. Aygün G, Demirkiran O, Utku T. Environmental contamination during a carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii outbreak in an intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2002; 59(4):259-62.
- 32. Dettenkofer M, Block C. Hospital disinfection: efficacy and safety issues. *Curr*

Opin Infect Dis 2005; 18(4):320-25.

- Raghupathi KR, Koodali RT, Manna AC. Size-dependent bacterial growth inhibition and mechanism of antibacterial activity of zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Langmuir* 2011; 27(7):4020-8.
- 34. Li WR, Xie XB, Shi QS. Antibacterial activity and mechanism of silver nanoparticles on *Escherichia coli*. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2010; **85**(4):1115-22.
- 35. Ansari E, Issazadeh K, Shoae-Hassani A. A study to investigate antibacterial effect of Nanocurcumin against pre-clinical methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infection. *Journal of Microbial World* 2014; 7(1):26-37.
- 36. Tan X, Qin N, Wu C. Transcriptome analysis of the biofilm formed by methicillinsusceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Sci Rep* 2015; 7(5)11997.
- 37. Kırmusaoglu S, 2017. MRSA and MSSA: The Mechanism of Methicillin Resistance and The Influence of Meticillin Resistance on Biofilm Phenotype of Staphylococcus aureus. In: Shymaa Mohamed Elsayed Enany, Laura Elise Crotty Alexander. The Rise of Virulence and Antibiotic Resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Intech. Croatia, Eastern Europe, pp. 25-41.