



Emerging Trend of Acinetobacter Nosocomial Infection in Northeast of Iran

Samaneh Saed¹, Malektaj Yazdanpanah², Mitra Lal-Dehghani², Amir Reza Khalighi², Mahbubeh Honarmand², Parviz Afrough³, Kiarash Ghazvini^{1*}

¹Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, Buali Research Institute, Department of Microbiology and Virology, Medical School, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, IR Iran

² Ghaem University Hospital, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, IR Iran

³Department of Bacteriology, Medical University of Alborz, Alborz, IR Iran

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT		
Article type: Original Article	Background: Acinetobacter spp. emerged as an opportunistic pathogen for hospital-acquired infections. Recently, increasing antibiotic resistance among		
Article history: Received: 21 Apr 2013 Revised: 30 May 2013 Accepted: 12 Jun 2013	 Acinetobacter spp. has worsened the problem. The aim of this study was to investigate the emerging trend of infection due to Acinetobacter in Ghaem University Hospital, Mashhad during 2006-2012. Methods: The demographic data and information about redisposing factors was 		
<i>Keywords:</i> Acinetobacter Cross Infection Iran	collected. Appropriate bacteriological samples were collected and <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. was isolated. Antibiotics susceptibility pattern of these isolates against different antimicrobials agents was determined. <i>Results:</i> Results confirmed that <i>Acinetobacter</i> spp. cause 20.9% of nosocomial infection during this period. The trend of Acinetobacter nosocomial infection was increasing and patients with risk factors such as COPD, bronchectasia, diabetes mellitus were more prone to infection. There was significant association between these infections and invasive procedures such as catheterization, mechanical ventilation and broad-spectrum antibiotics usage. <i>Conclusion:</i> Understanding trends in causative organisms of nosocomial infection can help us to better define our infection control policy.		

Please cite this paper as: Saed S, Yazdanpanah M, Lal-Dehghani M, Khalighi AR, Honarmand M, Afrough P, Ghazvini K. Emerging Trend of Acinetobacter spp. Causing Nosocomial Infection in Northeast of Iran. J Med Bacteriol. 2013; 2 (3, 4): pp. 56-61.

^c Corresponding Authors: Kiarash Ghazvini, PhD., Antimicrobial Resistance Research Center, Buali Research Institute, Department of Microbiology and Virology, Medical School, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, IR Iran, *Tel*: +98 915 1248938, *E-mail*: kiarash_ghazvini@yahoo.com

Introduction

Hospital-acquired infections have remained a serious problem in health care settings. *Acinetobacter* spp. consists of aerobic gramnegative cocobacillary rods that are ubiquitous in environment and grow on most routine laboratory media (1).

Acinetobacter has been everywhere specially in healthcare systems. This organism colonizes on human skin and mucous membranes and can infect the patient's respiratory tract, blood, soft tissues, urinary tract, and central nervous system (2). The infections due to this bacteria in hospitals are associated with adverse outcomes, including prolonged hospital stay and high mortality (3, 4).

Recently *Acinetobacter* spp. acquired resistance against many antibiotics and showed extraordinary ability to develop new mechanisms of resistance that lead to pandrug resistance (PDR) and cause extend nosocomial outbreaks. The high resistances of Acinetobacter have necessitated greater use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, such as imipenem (5).

In this study we present the emerging trend, prevalence profile and resistance patterns of *Acinetobacter* spp. as a causative agent of hospital-acquired infection in Ghaem university hospital in northeast of Iran since 2006 to 2012.

Materials and Methods

The study was performed since December 2006 to January 2012 in Ghaem university hospital in Mashhad, northeast of Iran. Ghaem university hospital is an 850 beds general hospital which admits mostly the referral patients. All patients who were hospitalized with no signs and symptoms of infection and revealed symptoms of infection after 48 hours of hospitalization were included in our study (nosocomial infection). The demographic data of patients regarding age, gender, symptoms and signs was collected by a valid questionnaire. We also gathered information about any predisposing factors such as COPD (Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease), diabetes mellitus. bronchectasia, and invasive procedures such as intra-vascular catheter. mechanical ventilation that patients received. Appropriate bacteriological samples such as blood, respiratory samples (tracheal aspirate and bronchoalveolar lavage), wound swabs and urine were collected from patients by an expert nurse (6).

All clinical specimens were initially inoculated on appropriate media and standard microbiological procedures were used for isolation and identification (7, 8). Antibiotics susceptibility pattern of nosocomial isolates of Acinetobacter spp. against different antimicrobials agents was determined by the disc-diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar as recommended by CLSI edition 2009 (9). The antimicrobial agents which were examined include: ampicilin, cefepime, cefazolin, ceftriaxon, cefixime, ciprofloxacin, norfloxacin, gentamicin, amikacin, kanamaycin, cotrimoxsazol, piperacillin and imipenem.

Results

Among 564 patients with nosocomial infection which were detected during this period 121 (21.4%) patients were infected by *Acinetobacter* spp. The infection due this

bacterium most often observed in patients with initial diseases such as respiratory failure, pneumonia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), CHF and Cancer. The trend of Acinetobacter nosocomial infection was increasing and maximum rate of infection was reported in 2011 and then 2009 (*Figure1*).





During this period, (2006-2011) Acinetobacter was more prevalent during summer. Among different age group, Acinetobacter infections were more common among neonates and then 40-50 years old patients especially in male group.

Most of nosocomial infections caused by Acinetobacter observed in patients suffering from background disease such as COPD, bronchectasia, diabetes mellitus. In our study, patients who received invasive procedures were more prone to infection with Acinetobacter than other patients. There was significant association between invasive procedures such as catheterization, mechanical ventilation and broad-spectrum antibiotics usage and infection with the bacteria (*Table 1*).

In surveying different wards, infections due to *Acinetobacter* spp. were more prevalent in

ICUs (75.2%) then NICU (15.2%), surgical (7.6%) and then other wards. Acinetobacter has been described as the cause of wide variety of nosocomial infections most commonly lower respiratory infection (70%), blood stream infection (14%), UTI (10%) and then wound infection (4%) and some other type of infections. In our study, the ventilator-associated pneumonia due to Acinetobacter spp. was the most frequent single type of infection (58%). Back ground such disease as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. bronchectasia and diabetes mellitus proved as risk factors as the rate of infection was higher among them. In 2011, Acinetobacter spp. were the most frequent isolated bacteria from nosocomial infections and other frequently isolated pathogens were Klebsiella spp. (13%), Pseudomonas (9.3%), Escherichia coli (8.3%) and Enterococci (7.2%).

Table 1. Relation between invasive			
procedures and infection with the bacteria			
Invasive Procedures	Number	%	
Intra Vascular Catheter	103	85.1	
Mechanical Ventilation	84	69.4	
Urinary Catheter	81	66.9	
Suction	63	52.0	
Intubation	61	50.4	
Theracheostomy	38	31.4	
Entera Vascular Feeding	36	29.7	
Operation	28	23.1	
Arterial Catheter	25	20.6	
Brain Shunt	15	12.3	
Centeral Venus Line	5	0.4	

In this study, most isolates of *Acinetobacter* spp. showed resistance against routine antibacterial agents on antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Among aminoglycosides, although amikacin was relatively effective, still 37% of *Acinetobacter* spp. showed resistance against it. Interestingly imipenem presents as the most effective antibiotics during these

years in the hospital. Resistance rates of Acinetobacter shows in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Resistance rates (%) of *Acinetobacter* spp.

Discussion

During recent years, there are many studies, which report Acinetobacter spp. as the leading causative agent accounting for health-care associated infections and vast of hospital outbreaks. The majority emerging trend of Acinetobacter as well as increasing multidrug resistance of this bacterium in nosocomial infections emphasis for immediately preventive the need measures (10). Once endemic in healthcare facilities. Acinetobacter is extremely difficult to eradicate as normal infection control measures are often insufficient to halt the transmission of this organism (11). Understanding emerging trends of this organism and antibiotic resistance pattern of it can help us to better define our infection control policy.

In our study, most affected ward of hospital was intensive care units and the ventilatorassociated pneumonia was the most encountered infection caused by *Acinetobacter* spp. in our hospital. So a range of enhanced

measures that focused on controlling ICUs' environmental contamination should be considered. Key measures included use of a closed tracheal suction system for all patients receiving mechanical ventilation, improved hand hygiene practice, clearer designation of responsibilities and strategies for cleaning equipment and the environment and the proper antibiotic prescribing policies (11). Specially as the most important source of Acinetobacter spp. is the already colonized or infected patient concerning contact isolation precautions for these patients should be re-emphasized (11).

The most common type of infection with Acinetobacter spp. was the lower respiratory tract. In our study as other studies, lower respiratory tract infection due to Acinetobacter spp. occurred most frequently in patients with mechanical ventilation, endotracheal intubation or tracheostomies and were also associated with intra vascular catheter (10). Bloodstream infections due to Acinetobacter spp. occurred often in patients who had mechanical ventilation and intra vascular catheter $(10)^{-1}$

Our Laboratory results showed these *Acinetobacter* spp. have become resistant against most of commonly prescribe antibiotic. As reported by other researchers, about half of all isolates were resistant to all commonly used antibiotics including aminoglycosides, cephalosporins, extended spectrum penicillins (11, 12). Recently, of antibiotic resistance are being increasingly reported worldwide and led many serious problems such as increase mortality and length of stay in hospital (13-15).

According to this study which is similar to many other reports, multidrug resistant Acinetobacter is a common pathogen in hospitals so it should be considered when prescribing antibiotics. In order to give timely and proper antibiotic therapy it is important to consider the antibiotic resistance pattern of this bacterium in the hospital (15). Even combination regimens might be considered by clinicians in order to achieve synergistic activity and to maximize antimicrobial effectiveness as well as to minimize the possibility of emergence of further resistance (11).

Emerging trend of Acinetobacter in the hospital could be due to lack of good infection-control performance in particular; poor personal hand hygiene and overcrowding situations in hospital. In one study *Acinetobacter* spp. were isolated from 29% of the hands of hospital staff (16). Another study reporting high carriage rates among staff members (33%) and multiple resistant strains were isolated (17). Acinetobacter colonization in nosocomial infection may also originate from the patients flora under the pressure of antibiotics, or contaminated equipment (18).

Conclusion

In conclusion we should focus on reinforcing standard infection control procedures and precautions. Standard infection control practices hand hygiene, personal protective equipment, environmental contamination control, and especially some change in antibiotic prescribing policies should be emphasized.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. We also thank Drs Rajabzadeh for her valuable assistance.

Conflict of interest

None declared conflicts of interest.

References

- Sengupta S, Kumar P, Ciraj A.M, *et al. Acinetobacter baumannii* - An emerging nosocomial pathogen in the burns unit Manipal, India. *Burns* 2001; 27: 140-4.
- Jang T.-N, Lee S.-H, Huang C.-H, et al. Risk factors and impact of nosocomial Acinetobacter baumannii blood stream infections in the adult intensive care unit: a case-control study. J Hosp Infec 2009; 73: 143-50.
- Paul M, Weinberger M, Siegman-Igra Y, et al. Acinetobacter baumannii: emergence and spread in Israeli hospitals 1997-2002. J Hosp Infec 2005; 60: 256-60.
- Fournier PE, Richet H. The Epidemiology and Control of Acinetobacter baumannii in Health Care Facilities. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42: 692-9.
- 5. Gerner-Smidt P. Endemic occurrence of *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus* biovar anitratus in an intensive care unit. *J Hosp Infec* 1987; **10**: 265-72.
- Irfan S, Turton JF, Mehraj J, et al. Molecular and epidemiological characterisation of clinical isolates of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* from public and private sector intensive care units in Karachi, Pakistan. *J Hosp Infec* 2011; **78**: 143-8.

- Forbes Betty A, Sahm Daniel F, Weissfeld Alice S, 2007. BAILEY & SCOTT'S Diagnostic Microbiology, international edition, 12th ed. Mosby, an affiliate of Elsevier Inc.
- Bergogne-Be'Re'Zin E, Towner KJ. Acinetobacter spp. as Nosocomial Pathogens: Microbiological, Clinical, and Epidemiological Features. Clin Microbiol Rev 1996; 9 (2):148-65.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; nineteenth informational supplement. CLS document M100-S19. Wayne, Pa: CLSI; 2009.
- Falagas ME, Kopterides P. Risk factors for the isolation of multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* and Pseudomonas aeruginosa: a systematic review of the literature. *J Hosp Infec* 2006; 64: 7-15.
- Towner KJ. Acinetobacter: an old friend, but a new enemy. J Hosp Infec 2009; 73: 355-63.
- Bergogne-Be´re´zin E. Resistance of Acinetobacter spp. to antimicrobials overview of clinical resistance patterns and therapeutic problems. In: Bergogne-Be´re´zin E, Joly-Guillou ML, Towner KJ, editors. Acinetobacter,

microbiology,epidemiology, infections, management. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 1996. p. 133-183.

- Fournier PE, Richet H. The epidemiology and control of *Acinetobacter* baumannii in health care facilities. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006; 42 (5): 692-9
- Doi Y, Husain S, Potoski BA, et al. Extensively drug-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Emerg Infect Dis 2009; 15: 980-2.
- Van Looveren M, Goosens H, ARPAC Steering Group. Antimicrobial resistance of *Acinetobacter* spp. in Europe. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2004; **10**: 684-704.
- French GL, Casewell MW, Roncoroni AJ, *et al.* A hospital outbreak of antibiotic-resistant *Acinetobacter anitratus* : epidemiology and control. *J Hosp Infec* 1980; 1: 125-31.
- Buxton AE, Anderson RL, Werdegar D, *et al.* Nosocomial respiratory tract infection and colonization with *Acinetobacter calcoaceticus. Am J Med* 1978; 65: 507-13.
- Forster DH, Daschner FD. Acinetobacter Species as Nosocomial Pathogens. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 1998; 17: 73-7.